Application No. Applicant(s) 09/079,468 NISHIMURA ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Christopher C. Pratt 1771 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Christopher C. Pratt. (4) Toru Nahanishi (2) Terrel Morris. 9) Hiroaki Yoshizawa Date of Interview: 3/29/01Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: All generally Identification of prior art discussed: Kishi + Horma Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) $\sqrt[]{}$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____. that fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on The examiner indicated that the current launguage, with respect to the linear binder is being interpreted broadly to mean any binder composition, with is applied. Applicants explained that their invention is actually recent which is applied. Applicants explained that their invention is actually recent to represent a binder applied to the fabric in the form of a line or fiber. It was determined that a possible error occurred in the translation of the prese "linear binder," It was suggested that Applicants file or ega to amen't the claims (and possibly the spec.) to indicate an accurate an actual representation of the actual binder.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTO-413 (Rev. 03-98)

The examines also expressed concern that the claim amendments have support in

The originally filed spec.

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.